### Introduction

### Main objective of the RAPSODIE project

- > automatic speech transcription
- \* adapted to the needs of deaf or hard of hearing people
  - improve communication between deaf people and their entourage
  - tool of socialization and/or integration in the workplace
- \* under real-time operating constraints
  - limited memory & computing power for possible embedded solution

### Approach

b target only people with a good knowledge of written French optimization of recognition models (and display format) for this task

### **Extracting relevant linguistic information**

- previous work has compared different linguistic units for phonetic decoding: words, phonemes, syllables  $\rightarrow$  syllables offer a good performance
- interviews with deaf people has emphasized the importance of words for understanding the message
- whatever the vocabulary size is, out-of-vocabulary words occur
- compromise: combine words and syllables into a single language model ensure proper recognition of the most frequent words
- provide sequences of syllables for the speech segments out-of-vocabulary

### Settings

- Configuration
- $\triangleright$  MFCC acoustic analysis : 32 ms window, 10 ms shift  $\rightarrow$  12 MFCC parameters and the logarithm of the energy per frame  $(+ \Delta, \Delta \Delta)$
- SRILM for training the language models
- Sphinx3 for training the gender dependent HMM acoustic models (with 64) Gaussian component mixtures)
- PocketSphinx for speech decoding and confidence measure computation (posterior probability)
- ► Data
- For training the phonetic acoustic models \* training sets of ESTER2 and ETAPE & transcribed data of EPAC \* about 300 hours of speech and 4 million words
- For training the hybrid language models
- \* training sets of ESTER2, ETAPE et EPAC after a forced alignment and transformation into hybrid unit sequences (words+syllables)
- ▷ For performance evaluation: development sets of ESTER2 and ETAPE

# Hybrid language models for speech transcription





Threshold on the number of occurrences of words

### Retrieving the message carried out by the speech signal



### Can the confidence measures identify correct items? correctly recognized words? correctly recognized syllables?



### Conclusions

- the hybrid language model is a good compromise
- 0.5, 85% are correctly recognized
- the language model

### Future work

- investigate further confidence measures on the syllables units
- ► towards detection of error zones instead of item-based decision

## Speech Group, Loria Nancy, France

> among the recognized words which have a confidence measure greater than

evaluations have also shown that the contribution of confidence measures on syllables is relevant only if there is a fairly significant amount of syllables in

{firstName.lastName}@loria.fr